

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/022,282

Applicant(s)

DEWAR ET AL.

Examiner

Tim Heitbrink

Art Unit

1722

**All Participants:**(1) Tim Heitbrink.(2) Teresa Medler.**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 February 2004**Time:** 10:00**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Medler agreed to the changes to claim 7. Such changes were made in limit the plug to the injection molding art while also more clearly claiming the outward projection of the guide body and its diametrical opposition to the inlet.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~ *See above.*
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)